

# ON THE SCALING LAW FOR THE DISCRETE KINETIC GROWTH PERCOLATION MODEL IN TWO AND THREE DIMENSIONS

KEIZO YAMAMOTO\*, YUKO YAMADA† and SASUKE MIYAZIMA‡

The critical exponent of the total number of finite clusters  $\alpha$  is calculated directly without using scaling hypothesis both below and above the percolation threshold  $p_c$  based on a kinetic growth percolation model in two and three dimensions. Simultaneously, we can calculate other critical exponents  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , and show that the scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2$  has been held in the simulation result above the percolation threshold  $p_c$ .

Keywords: Percolation problem, Scaling law, Kinetic growth model, Leath algorithm

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The percolation problem is one of the most basic and important models for various phase transition phenomena in statistical physics. In a number of fields a variety of experimental discussions are reported, but Flory's discussion [1] on aggregation in polymer science is the first one directly related to percolation. A mathematical definition of the percolation problem was given by Broadbent and Hammersley [2] in 1957. The exact values of threshold concentrations and critical exponents for the percolation problem are found in only several 2-D lattices [3] from 1965 to 1982. As for the method of the calculation, the series expansion or the Monte Carlo simulation has mainly been used [4]. The series expansion has been studied by Sykes et al. powerfully and using these series expansion some of the critical exponents were obtained [5-9]. The Monte Carlo simulation has been studied by many researchers. Previous works of the critical exponents  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  were reported by Stool and Domb [10], Leath and Reich [11], Nakanishi and Stanley [12,13] and Hoshen et al. [14]. The critical exponent  $\alpha$  was reported by Kirkpatrick [15]. Since Kasteleyn and Fortuin [16] provided the analogy between the percolation problem and other critical phenomena, a number of studies have been made to examine the scaling law in the percolation problem.

A kinetic growth percolation algorithm was proposed by Leath [17,18] in 1976, and Alexandrowicz [19] in 1980 calculated critical exponents from 2 to 8 dimensions. They showed that the threshold value  $p_c$  and the critical exponents were corresponding to usual percolation results [20]. However, all the critical exponents by them were calculated below the threshold  $p < p_c$ , because they thought that the function type of the distribution function also critical exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  don't change below and above the threshold. The critical exponent  $\beta$

---

\*Faculty of Engineering, Setsunan University 17-8 Ikeda-Nakamachi, Neyagawa Osaka 572-8508, Japan

†Department of Information Science, Chubu University

‡Department of Natural Science, Chubu University

shows the property of the fraction of sites belonging to the infinite cluster. Therefore we have to calculate the property above the threshold  $p_c < p$ . It should be decided by using the results above the threshold whether the scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2$  has been held. However, they concluded that the scaling law was held by using the value below the threshold. They assumed that the function type of the distribution function obeyed the scaling hypothesis. It is necessary to calculate the critical exponents independently above the threshold to prove the scaling law. Above the threshold, however, it is difficult to decide the exponent  $\alpha$  because the infinite cluster appears in the usual percolation method. A lot of previous works described only the exponents  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , and the exponent  $\alpha$  is derived from scaling assumption [15]. On the other hand, as the kinetic growth percolation model (KGM) is able to generate only the finite cluster, we can avoid this difficulty. In the next section the kinetic growth model (Leath algorithm) will be described and the critical exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  below and above the critical point by using KGM in two and three dimensions will be given in Sec.3 and Sec.4, respectively. The final section will present our conclusions and discussion.

## 2 KINETIC GROWTH MODEL (LEATH ALGORITHM)

In a site percolation problem, each site has a prescribed probability  $p$  (the same for each site) of occupying a site by the particle, and a probability of  $1-p$  of non-occupying a site by the particle. A bond between the two nearest neighbor sites is formed when the two nearest neighbor sites are occupied by particles. The connected sites form a cluster. We obtain the critical probability  $p_c$ , when the cluster becomes infinitely large. It is known that the same critical value is obtained by growing up from one site at the origin (KGM [20], Leath algorithm [18,19]). KGM (Leath algorithm) is performed on a discrete site percolation as follows:

- (1) A particle is placed on the origin.
- (2) One site is selected out of the four (or the six in the case of a 3d-simple cubic lattice) nearest neighbor sites in the case of a 2d-square lattice. The site is occupied or empty, with probability  $p$  or  $1 - p$ , respectively. The occupied nearest neighbor sites are linked and construct a cluster.
- (3) The above processes are repeated until the cluster grows infinitely or the growth stops.

We repeat above steps (1) to (3) again and again until many sample clusters are obtained. Usually, when the probability  $p$  is greater than the critical probability  $p_c$ , the infinite cluster is obtained and when the probability  $p$  is less than  $p_c$ , only the finite size of cluster is obtained. However, in the finite lattice a finite cluster which spreads from end to end is regarded as an infinite cluster. Also critical exponents  $\nu$  for the correlation length of 1.3 ( in 2d ) and 0.83 ( in 3d ) are obtained, which are the same as the exponents obtained from the conventional simulation method [21]. This method of KGM (Leath algorithm) can generate a larger size cluster, which allows us to simulate a larger size cluster in the same lattice size, because we treat only a single cluster. Therefore this simulation can be done close to the percolation threshold  $p_c$ , when we increase  $p$  below  $p_c$ . However, when we decrease  $p$  from a larger probability than  $p_c$ , many clusters created by this simulation spread over the finite lattice range. This simulation has not been used above  $p_c$ .

### 3 THE CRITICAL EXPONENTS AND THE PERCOLATION THRESHOLD IN TWO DIMENSIONS

In the percolation problem, various amounts indicate singularity at the threshold  $p_c$ . Then, critical exponents of those quantities are defined by the similarity with the critical phenomena of the phase transitions. Typical critical exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  can be calculated from the  $k$ -th moment of cluster size by using the cluster size distribution  $n_s(p)$  as follows,

$$M_k = \sum_s s^k n_s(p). \quad (1)$$


The mean cluster size corresponds to  $M_2$ , the strength of the infinite cluster to  $M_1$ , and the total number of finite clusters to  $M_0$ . From these moments the critical exponents  $-\gamma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $2 - \alpha$  can be calculated against  $|p - p_c|$ , respectively. It is suggested that these critical exponents depend only on the dimension of the lattice, but not on the lattice structure itself. If we assume the scaling assumption of the distribution function  $n_s(p)$ , the number of the independent exponents is two. Then, using the 2d- KGM model, we derive the critical exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  independently above the critical point and examine whether the scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2$  has been held. Below, we further explain the calculation procedures for the exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

**(i) The critical exponent  $\beta$ :**


The probability  $p$  of sites belonging to the infinite cluster shows the critical behavior near the percolation threshold  $p_c$ . It goes to zero by the simple power law,

$$P \sim (p - p_c)^\beta, \quad (2)$$

and right at the critical point  $p = p_c$  we have  $P = 0$ . But even below  $p_c$  we regard a finite cluster as an infinite one if it touches 2 edges at the top and the bottom, because of the finite lattice. Therefore, we estimate a value that is smaller than the




**Fig.1** The mean value of percolation fraction  $P(p, L)$  is shown by circles. The solid line is obtained by using the critical parameter of Table 1.



**Fig.2** (a) The percolation fraction  $\log(P(p, L))$  is plotted against  $\log(p - p_c)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ . The value of  $p_c$  obtained is 0.5926 and the slope  $\beta = 0.139$  is obtained.

true  $p_c$  to be the percolation threshold. We show the mean value of fraction  $P(p, L)$  for 10000 samples against  $p$  in Fig.1 together with the curve obtained by using the critical parameters estimated below. The fraction  $P(p, L)$  is calculated as a ratio for the cluster to exceed the finite square lattice ( $L = 3000$ ). The number of samples is 10000. The fraction  $P(p, L)$  becomes non-zero from about  $p = 0.585$ , though the true percolation threshold  $p_c$  is about 0.5927. When  $p$  approaches  $p_c$ , critical phenomena are shown, but when  $p$  closely approaches the vicinity of  $p_c$ , the fraction  $P(p, L)$  is slightly over counted and deviates from Eq. (2) because of some finite size effects. When  $p$  becomes larger than  $p_c$ , critical phenomena are not shown. We show the fraction  $\log(P(p, L))$  against  $\log(p - p_c)$  in Fig.2, where  $p_c$  is tuned in order to obtain a straight line. The critical exponent  $\beta$  is calculated by using values (gray solid circles in Fig.1 or Fig.2) from  $p = 0.593$  to  $p=0.615$ .

When an adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.5926, to take into account some finite size effects [15], the critical exponent  $\beta$  becomes  $0.139 \pm 0.001$  in Fig.2 (a). When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.5927, the critical exponent  $\beta$  becomes  $0.141 \pm 0.001$  in Fig.2 (b). These parameters allow the good result even in the  $3000 \times 3000$  square lattice. We believe this is the first time the exponent  $\beta$  has been obtained in KGM.



**Fig.2** (b) The percolation fraction  $\log(P(p, L))$  is plotted against  $\log(p - p_c)$ . When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5927, the critical exponent  $\beta$  becomes 0.141.

**(ii) The critical exponent  $\alpha$ :** The total number of finite clusters  $M_0$  varies as

$$M_0 \sim |p - p_c|^{2-\alpha}. \tag{3}$$


By using KGM, the total number of finite clusters is counted as follows. We name clusters containing  $s$  sites  $s$ -clusters. We define  $n_s$  as the number of  $s$ -clusters per lattice site. The  $s$ -cluster consists of  $s$  occupied sites and  $t$  empty sites. If we make  $N$  sample clusters by using KGM,  $s$ -clusters will be counted at the ratio of  $n_s s$ .  $N$  is determined in the following manner. We sum of each  $(s + t)$  sites of the cluster generated one by one. The simulation is repeated until the total summation of sites satisfies the Eq. (4). ( $L^2 = 3000 \times 3000 = 9000000$ ).

$$\sum_{t=1}^N (s_i + t_i) \approx 9000000 \tag{4}$$


The frequency distribution  $f(s)$  of the  $s$ -cluster proportional to  $n_s s$  against size  $s$  is obtained. Then we sum up  $f(s)/s$  by over the size  $s$ . We obtain the total number of finite clusters  $M_0$ . This process corresponds to counting the number of finite clusters in the usual percolation problem.

This total number decreases because of the appearances of larger clusters caused by increase of the correlation length, when  $p$  approaches below the threshold  $p_c$ . As the correlation length becomes infinite above  $p_c$ , infinite clusters appear. However, the finite clusters still appear above  $p_c$ . We show the total number of finite clusters  $M_0$  against  $p$  in Fig.3 together with the curve obtained by using the critical parameters estimated as below. The total number of finite clusters is estimated slightly larger because only small clusters in the finite lattice were counted. The threshold  $p_c$  is estimated to a slightly different value from 0.5927 depending on the direction approached from above and from below  $p_c$ . Therefore, when  $p$  approaches the threshold from below, the threshold  $p_c(L)$  is estimated a slightly larger than  $p_c(L = \infty)$ . When  $p$  approaches the threshold from above, the threshold  $p_c(L)$  is estimated slightly smaller than  $p_c(L = \infty)$ . We show  $\log(M_0)$  against  $\log|p_c - p|$  in Fig.4, where each  $p_c$  is tuned in order to obtain a straight line.

As shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4, the power law is valid considerably in the wide range below the threshold  $p_c$ . Therefore, the power law exponent  $\alpha$  does not greatly depend on the threshold (gray solid circles in Fig.4). The critical exponent  $\alpha$  is calculated by using values from  $p = 0.450$  to  $p = 0.587$  below the threshold  $p_c$ . When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.5927, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  becomes  $-0.66 \pm 0.02$  below the threshold  $p_c$  (gray solid circles in Fig.4 (a)). On the other hand, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  becomes  $-0.31 \pm 0.03$  above the threshold  $p_c$  as shown in Fig.4 (a) with gray solid squares. As our simulation is done in the range of  $p$  near  $p_c$  from  $p = 0.597$  to  $p = 0.610$ , the critical exponent




**Fig.3** The total number of finite clusters  $M_0$  is plotted against  $p$  by circles (below  $p_c$ ) and by squares (above  $p_c$ ). The solid line is obtained by using the critical parameters of Table 1.



**Fig.4** (a) The total number of finite clusters  $\log(M_0)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . When the adjustable  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5927, from the slope we have obtained  $\alpha = -0.66$  (below  $p_c$ ) and  $\alpha = -0.31$  (above  $p_c$ ).

$\alpha$  greatly depends on the value of  $p_c$ .

When the range of the calculation is extended, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  shows a tendency to increase. When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.5918, to take into account some finite size effects, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  becomes  $-0.66 \pm 0.02$  above the  $p_c$  in Fig.4 (b) with gray solid squares and  $-0.66 \pm 0.02$  below the threshold  $p_c$  in Fig.4 (b) with gray solid circles, respectively.



**Fig.4** (b) The total number of finite clusters  $\log(M_0)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ , below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. From the slope we have obtained  $\alpha = -0.66$  both below and above  $p_c$ .

**Table 1** The critical exponents are given below and above  $p_c$  in two dimensions.


	$p_c$	$p < p_c$	$p_c < p$
$\alpha$	0.5927	$-0.66 \pm 0.02$	$-0.31 \pm 0.03$
	0.5918	$-0.66 \pm 0.02$	$-0.66 \pm 0.02$
$\gamma$	0.5927	$2.30 \pm 0.01$	$2.03 \pm 0.02$
	0.5934	$2.38 \pm 0.01$	
	0.5918		$2.38 \pm 0.03$
$\beta$	0.5927		$0.141 \pm 0.001$
	0.5926		$0.139 \pm 0.001$

**(iii) The critical exponent  $\gamma$ :**


When  $p$  approaches the threshold  $p_c$  the mean cluster size diverges as follows

$$S \sim |p - p_c|^{-\gamma}. \quad (5)$$

The shift of the percolation threshold  $p_c$  to take into account some finite size effects is similar to the total number of finite clusters. We show the mean cluster size  $S$  for 10000 samples against  $p$  in Fig.5 together with the curve obtained by using the critical parameters estimated as below. The shape of this curve is like the well known susceptibility  $\chi$  in magnetization.




**Fig.5** The mean cluster size  $S$  is plotted against  $p$  by circles (below  $p_c$ ) and by squares (above  $p_c$ ). The solid line is obtained by using the critical parameters of Table 1.



**Fig.6** (a) The mean cluster size  $\log(S)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5927, from the slope we have obtained  $\gamma = 2.30$  (below  $p_c$ ) and  $\gamma = 2.03$  (above  $p_c$ ).

As shown in Fig.6 (a), when  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5927, exponent  $\gamma$  becomes  $2.30 \pm 0.01$  approaching  $p_c$  from  $p < p_c$  (gray solid circles) and  $2.03 \pm 0.02$  from above (gray solid squares), respectively. As shown in Fig.6 (b), both exponents  $\gamma$  become 2.38 if we assumed  $p_c$  to be 0.5934 when  $p$  approaches to  $p_c$  from below (gray solid circles) and to be 0.5918 when  $p$  approaches from above (gray solid squares), respectively [15]. These critical exponents in two dimensions are shown in Table 1.



**Fig.6** (b) The mean cluster size  $\log(S)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ , below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. From the slope we have obtained  $\gamma = 2.38$  both below and above  $p_c$ .

## 4 THE CRITICAL EXPONENTS AND THE PERCOLATION THRESHOLD IN THREE DIMENSIONS

Using the same calculation procedures in the previous section, we obtain the critical exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

### (i) The critical exponent $\beta$ :

The fraction  $P(p, L)$  is calculated as a ratio for the cluster to exceed the finite cubic lattice ( $L=200$ ). The number of samples is 10000 for each value of  $p$ . We show the fraction  $\log(P(p, L))$  against  $\log(p - p_c)$  in Fig.7, where  $p_c$  is tuned in order to obtain a straight line. The critical exponent  $\beta$  is calculated by using values (gray solid circles in Fig.7) from  $p=0.313$  to  $p=0.333$ .

When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.3114, to take into account some finite size effects, the critical exponent  $\beta$  becomes  $0.409 \pm 0.004$  in Fig.7. When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.3116, the critical exponent  $\beta$  becomes  $0.392 \pm 0.004$ . Good results were obtained even in the  $200 \times 200 \times 200$  cubic lattice.

**(ii) The critical exponent  $\alpha$ :**


The simulation is repeated until the total summation of sites satisfies the Eq. (5). ( $L^3 = 200 \times 200 \times 200 = 8000000$ ).

$$\sum_{t=1}^N (s_i + t_i) \approx 8000000 \quad (6)$$


We show  $\log(M_0)$  against  $\log|p_c - p|$  in Fig.8 , where  $p_c$  is tuned in order to obtain a straight line, below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.308, to take into account some finite size effects, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  becomes  $-0.62 \pm 0.04$  above the  $p_c$  in Fig.8 with gray solid squares. When the adjustable value of  $p_c$  was assumed to be 0.318, the critical exponent  $\alpha$  becomes  $-0.63 \pm 0.03$  below the threshold  $p_c$  in Fig.8 with gray solid circles.

**(iii) The critical exponent  $\gamma$ :**

The mean cluster size  $S$  is calculated for 10000 samples for each value of  $p$ . We show  $\log(S)$  against  $\log|p - p_c|$  in Fig.9, where  $p_c$  is tuned in order to obtain a straight line, below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. When  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.3126, the exponent  $\gamma$  becomes  $1.796 \pm 0.005$  approaching to  $p_c$  from  $p < p_c$  (gray solid circles). When  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.3012, the exponent  $\gamma$  becomes  $1.79 \pm 0.02$  approaching to  $p_c$  from above (gray solid squares). These critical exponents in three dimensions are shown in Table 2.



**Fig.7** The percolation fraction  $\log(P(p, L))$  is plotted against  $\log(p - p_c)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ . The value of  $p_c$  obtained is 0.3114 and the slope is obtained  $\beta = 0.409$ .



**Fig.8** The total number of finite clusters  $\log(M_0)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ , below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. From the slope we have obtained  $\alpha = -0.63$  (below  $p_c$ ) and  $\alpha = -0.62$  (above  $p_c$ ).




**Table 2** The critical exponents are given below and above  $p_c$  in three dimensions.

	$p_c$	$p < p_c$	$p_c < p$
$\alpha$	0.318	$-0.63 \pm 0.03$	
	0.308		$-0.62 \pm 0.04$
$\gamma$	0.3126	$1.796 \pm 0.005$	
	0.3102		$1.79 \pm 0.02$
$\beta$	0.3114		$0.409 \pm 0.004$

## 5 CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In two dimensions as shown in Table 1, critical exponents above the threshold  $p_c$  are sensitive to the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$ . When the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5918, we obtain the same critical exponent  $\alpha = -0.66 \pm 0.02$  below and above  $p_c$ . This exponent  $\alpha = -0.66 \pm 0.02$  above  $p_c$  will be a value that we obtained for the first time in KGM. For the critical exponent  $\gamma$ , we obtain the same value  $2.38 \pm 0.03$  below and above  $p_c$ , when the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5918 above  $p_c$  and 0.5934 below  $p_c$ . For the critical exponent  $\beta$ , when the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5926, we obtained the value  $0.139 \pm 0.001$ . This exponent is a value obtained for the first time in KGM. For above  $p_c$  the scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = -0.66 + 2 \times 0.139 + 2.38 = 1.998$  which is almost 2 within the error range. When the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.5927 ( $p_c(L = \infty)$ ), we obtain  $\alpha = -0.31 \pm 0.03$ ,  $\gamma = 2.03 \pm 0.02$  and  $\beta = 0.141 \pm 0.001$ . The scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma$  becomes 2.002 also. In three dimensions as shown in Table 2, when the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.308 above  $p_c$  and 0.318 below  $p_c$ , the critical exponent  $\alpha = -0.62 \pm 0.04$  above  $p_c$  and  $\alpha = -0.63 \pm 0.03$  below  $p_c$ , respectively. We obtain the almost same value for the critical exponent  $\gamma$  both  $1.796 \pm 0.03$  below and  $1.79 \pm 0.02$  above  $p_c$ , when the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.3126 below  $p_c$  and 0.3102 above  $p_c$ . For the critical exponent  $\beta$ , when the adjustable value of the threshold  $p_c$  is assumed to be 0.3114, we obtained a value of  $0.409 \pm 0.004$ . Above  $p_c$  the scaling law  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = -0.62 + 2 \times 0.409 + 1.79 = 1.988$  which is almost 2 within the error range. Therefore, we may conclude that the scaling law is held in two and three dimensions.



**Fig.9** The mean cluster size  $\log(S)$  is plotted against  $\log(|p - p_c|)$ . We try to find the best straight line by tuning  $p_c$ , below and above  $p_c$ , respectively. From the slope we have obtained  $\gamma = 1.79$  both below and above  $p_c$ .

## References

- [1] P. J. Flory, Molecular Size Distribution in Three Dimensional Polymers. I, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63 (1941) 3083-3090; Molecular Size Distribution in Three Dimensional Polymers. II, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63 (1941)

- 3091-3096; Molecular Size Distribution in Three Dimensional Polymers. III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63 (1941) 3096-3100.
- [2] S. R. Broadbent and J. M. Hammersley, Percolation processes. I, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 53 (1957) 629-641.
- [3] G. Grimmett, Percolation (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
- [4] J. W. Essam, Phase Transitions and Critical phenomena Vol.2 (Academic Press, Inc., 1972), 197-270.
- [5] M. F. Sykes and M. Glen, Percolation Processes in two dimensions I, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 87-95.
- [6] M. F. Sykes, D.S. Gaunt and M. Glen, Percolation Processes in two dimensions II, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 97-103; Percolation Processes in two dimensions III, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 715-724; Percolation processes in three dimensions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 1705-1712; Percolation Processes in two dimensions IV, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 725-730.
- [7] D. S. Gaunt, M. F. Sykes and H. Ruskin, Percolation processes in d-dimensions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 1899-1911.
- [8] D. S. Gaunt and M.F. Sykes, Percolation Processes in two dimensions V, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) 1109-1116.
- [9] C. Domb and C. J. Pearce, Mean number of clusters for percolation processes in two dimensions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 (1976) L137-L140.
- [10] E. Stool and C. Domb, Monte Carlo studies of two-dimensional percolation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 11 (1978) L57-L61.
- [11] P. L. Leath and G. R. Reich, Scaling form for percolation cluster size and perimeters, J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys., 11 (1978) 4017-4036.
- [12] H. Nakanishi and H. E. Stanley, A test of scaling near the bond percolation threshold, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 11 (1978) L189-197.
- [13] H. Nakanishi and H. E. Stanley, Scaling studies of percolation phenomena in systems of dimensionality two to seven: Cluster numbers, Phys. Rev. B, 22 (1980) 2466-2488.
- [14] J. Hoshen, D. Stauffer, G. H. Bishop, R. J. Harrison and G. D. Quinn, Monte Carlo experiments on cluster size distribution in percolation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 12 (1979) 1285-1307.
- [15] S. Kirkpatrick, Percolation Phenomena in Higher Dimensions: Approach to the Mean-Field Limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 69-72.
- [16] P. W. Kastekeyn and C. M. Fortuin, Phase Transitions in Lattice Systems with Random Local Properties, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl., 26 (1969) 11-14.
- [17] P. L. Leath, Cluster Shape and Critical Exponents near Percolation Threshold, Phys. Rev. Lett., 36 (1976) 921-924.
- [18] P. L. Leath, Cluster size and boundary distribution near percolation threshold, Phys. Rev., B14 (1976) 5046-5055.
- [19] Z. Alexandrowicz, Critically branched chains and percolation clusters, Phys. Lett., 80A (1980) 284-286.
- [20] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, An introduction to Percolation Problem (Taylor & Francis, 1983).